

Thoughts on the past 24 hours of ejaculation and small breasts

Written by ASP Staff

Friday, 29 January 2010 17:56

Today's debate around the banning of images of female ejaculation and women with small breasts in adult media, has played out with comments from around the world. The most interesting observation has been the complete silence of the Australian Classification Board (ACB) on the matter. Adult media might not be everyone's professional calling but it employs about 20,000 people in Australia. And according to the 2006, La Trobe University survey called Sex in Australia, about 25% of Australians consume adult media. The more recent Porn Report found over 30% of Australians are regular viewers. Let's not kid ourselves that because this issue doesn't affect the Sydney Morning Herald or Women's Weekly that its irrelevant or just a beat up by a small political party.

People are directly and indirectly very threatened by these decisions.

Like the Australian model who recently appeared on a popular website in an erotic performance which culminated in a wild and wonderful orgasm complete with a copious ejaculation. The police submitted the 10 minute performance to the ACB for classification and wouldn't you know it? They ruled that this was a banned depiction of an offensive fetish - namely she had pissed herself as she came. Therefore she had performed a 'golden shower' and as such they gave it the dreaded Refused Classification rating. This meant that the model and the site that she appeared on were now liable to be charged with a criminal offence.

I'm not sure how many people in at the ACB have ever had or seen a women ejaculate as she comes but it is so so different to urination. Everything about a women's body and her psychology changes at the point of orgasm and to say that a women can orgasm and at the same time take a piss is just not possible. Its like a guy at the point of orgasm thinking, "Oh I'll just pull it out and have a wee at this point". It just can't happen. Now if at the point of orgasm hewell...orgasms...then he ejaculates with all the trappings of that exalted state of being and its as obvious as hell to anyone as to what is happening.

Clearly the model and/or the site owner can appeal the ACB decision on this. But then can they? First they'll have to stump up \$8,000 for an appeal fee which is in itself an appalling act of censorship. For most actors and models this is out of the question, they can't afford \$8,000. The appeals process in Australia doesn't allow for you to hire a lawyer through legal aid. You pay the \$8,000 or you can't argue the decision. It is not refunded even if the appeal is upheld. You can imagine how few of these there are each year. But I actually think the model has grounds to sue the ACB for defamation because they said she pissed herself at the end of an erotic performance.

Australian models with small breasts who appear in publications which are subsequently banned might also look at taking out a discrimination action against the ACB. These stupid decisions are jeopardising their ability to get work and that is illegal in this country. Only in the most extraordinary circumstances could small breasts on a women be said to make that woman look like a minor.